

Bristol City Council Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Year ending 31 March 2022

February 2023



Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
Progress at February 2023	4
Other findings at February 2023	8
Audit Deliverables	10
Sector Update	11

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Authority or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

Introduction

Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Jon Roberts

Key Audit Partner

T: 0117 305 7699

E: Jon.Roberts@uk.gt.com

Beth Bowers

Senior Manager

T: 0117 305 7726

E Beth.AC.Bowers@uk.gt.com

Chrissa Viente

In-charge Auditor

E Chrissa.Viente@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a local authority; and
- includes a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider (these are a tool to use, if helpful, rather than formal questions requiring responses for audit purposes)

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/services/public-sector-services/>

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at February 2023

Financial Statements Audit

We undertook our initial planning for the 2021/22 audit in June 2022, and interim audit in July. We began our work on your draft financial statements in October.

In August we issued a detailed audit plan, setting out our proposed approach to the audit of the Authority's 2021/22 financial statements.

The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 were amended by SI 2021 No. 263. The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) previously stated their intention to introduce secondary legislation to extend the deadline for publishing audited local authority accounts to 30 November 2022 for the 2021/22 accounts. This is enacted by The Accounts and Audit (Amendment) Regulations 2022 (SI 2022 No. 708) that came into force on 22 July 2022. The deadline for publishing audited local authority accounts for 2021/22 is extended to 30 November 2022 and thereafter changed to 30 September for years up to 2027/28.

Audit work is underway and a detailed summary of progress against significant risk areas has been set out on pages 5 to 7. Completion of the 2021-22 audit is dependent on successful completion of the 2020-21 audit, which is currently planned for early April.

Accounting for infrastructure

The Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2021/22 requires infrastructure to be reported in the Balance Sheet at historic cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment and that where there is 'enhancement' to the assets, that the replaced components are derecognised. Where authorities are not fully compliant with these requirements, there may be a risk of material misstatement.

Many authorities do not possess the records to be able to fully comply with the requirements. Following extensive consultation and discussions with interested parties DLUHC has now laid before Parliament a Statutory Instrument which came into force on 25 December 2022. This instrument simplifies accounting for infrastructure assets until the 2024/25 financial year, following which the CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting is expected to introduce longer term financial reporting requirements in this area.

Value for Money

The new Code of Audit Practice (the "Code") came into force on 1 April 2020 for audit years 2020/21 and onwards. The most significant change under the new Code was the introduction of an Auditor's Annual Report, containing a commentary on arrangements to secure value for money and any associated recommendations, if required.

The new approach is more complex, more involved and is planned to make more impact.

Under the 2020 Code of Audit Practice, for relevant authorities other than local NHS bodies auditors are required to issue our Auditor's Annual Report no later than 30 September or, where this is not possible, issue an audit letter setting out the reasons for delay.

As a result of the ongoing pandemic, and the impact it has had on both preparers and auditors of accounts to complete their work as quickly as would normally be expected, the National Audit Office has updated its guidance to auditors to allow us to postpone completion of our work on arrangements to secure value for money and focus our resources firstly on the delivery of our opinions on the financial statements. This is intended to help ensure as many as possible could be issued in line with national timetables and legislation. The extended deadline for the issue of the Auditor's Annual Report is now no more than three months after the date of the opinion on the financial statements. We anticipate issuing our Auditor's Annual Report, covering both 2021-22 and the 2022-23 financial years in May 2023.

Progress at February 2023 (cont.)

Significant risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary on work completed to date

The revenue cycle includes fraudulent revenue transactions (ISA240)

Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.

This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.

Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Council, we have determined that the risk of material fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted because:

- there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition;
- The council's revenue streams are non-complex in nature; and
- the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Bristol City Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.

There has been no change to this assessment since the considerations set out in our Audit Plan.

Risk of fraud related to expenditure recognition (PAF Practice Note 10)

In line with the Public Audit Forum Practice Note 10, in the public sector, auditors must also consider the risk that material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting may arise from the manipulation of expenditure recognition (for instance by deferring expenditure to a later period).

This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to expenditure recognition.

We have determined that the risk of material fraud arising from expenditure recognition can be rebutted because, per Practice note 10, misstatements may arise where the audited body is under pressure to meet externally set targets. Our review has not identified indicators that a target based environment exists at the Council.

There has been no change to this assessment since the considerations set out in our Audit Plan.

Valuation of investment in First Corporate Shipping Ltd

The Authority holds material long term investments in its balance sheet. These include the estimated valuation of an unquoted equity investment.

This investment is by its nature hard to value estimates, and management have estimated their value based on a range of estimation techniques.

As part of our work, we have:

- discussed the valuation techniques adopted with management and obtained both their calculations for the valuation of the unquoted equity investments and the valuation report prepared by management's expert and assessed these against accounting standards; and
- engaged our internal valuations experts to review management's estimates and to provide us with assurance over the valuation of the Authority's unquoted equity investments

Our work is substantially complete. Our review of the assumptions has not identified significant issues. Our only finding to date is to recommend that for the Cost of Equity used in the valuation, management should use data as at 31 March 2022 not data after the balance sheet date.

Progress at February 2023 (cont.)

Significant risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary on work completed to date

Valuation of pension fund net liability

The Council's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.

The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved (£1.026bn in the Council's balance sheet) and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.

As part of our work, we have:

- updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Authority's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluated the design of the associated controls
- evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work
- assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Authority's pension fund valuation
- assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Authority to the actuary to estimate the liability
- tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary
- undertaken procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and
- obtained assurances from the auditor of Avon Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements.

Our work is well progressed in this area and to date we have not identified any findings.

Valuation of land and buildings, council dwellings and Investment properties

The Authority revalues its land and buildings on a rolling five-yearly basis and its council dwellings and Investment Properties on an annual basis.

This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements, due to the size of the values involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.

As part of our work, we have:

- evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work
- evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the Council's valuation expert
- written to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out
- challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding, the Council's valuer's report and assumptions that underpin the valuation
- tested revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Authority's asset register
- evaluated the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value at year end.
- engaged an auditor's expert to further challenge underlying assumptions and terms of engagement with the valuer.

Our work in this area is in progress and has identified the following to date:

- A number of assets were revalued in 2021-22 using a new method of valuation. We challenged the valuer why the method of valuation had changed for these assets and are in the process of evaluating responses received.

Progress at February 2023 (cont.)

Significant risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary on work completed to date

Management override of controls

Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.

As part of our work we:

- evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals;
- analysed the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals;
- gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence;
- evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions; and
- tested high value and unusual journals processed during the year and at the accounts production stage for appropriateness and corroboration.

Our work in this area is in progress and has identified the following to date:

- We have identified a segregation of duties conflict within the finance system. One user has been granted with system access which enables him to have the same privileges as super user and also heads the cash office with financial responsibilities. In our Audit Findings Report, we will recommend that his access be revisited to ensure that there is adequate segregation of duties between those with administration rights and those who use the journals system.

Other findings at February 2023

Other findings to date	Commentary on issues identified
REFCUS	Our work over the Council's REFCUS identified that the net balance of REFCUS has been recorded in the financial statements on a net basis. While this does not impact on the primary statements a number of disclosure notes require adjustments to include both eh gross costs and gross income associated with REFCUS. This issue identified relates to all open audit periods and management have produced draft adjustments for the audit team to review.
Grant income / Creditors	Our work identified that one grant where the Council was acting as principal had been recorded as if the Council were acting as agent. The value of the grant is £961k and management are adjusting to move the unspent position from creditors to Grants received in advanced.

Progress at February 2023 (cont.)

Other areas

Other Powers

Under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, a local elector has the right to inspect the accounts and books and records of the Council and write to that external auditor to ask questions about the accounts. They may also object to the Council's accounts asking that the auditor issue a report in the public interest (under section 24 and paragraph 1 of Schedule 7 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014) or apply for a declaration that an item in the accounts is contrary to law. We received one such objection to the financial statements during the public inspection period for the 2021-22 accounts. Work on this is underway and we expect to conclude on this in April 2023.

Audit Deliverables

2021/22 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
<p>Audit Plan</p> <p>We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Authority's 2021/22 financial statements and to issue a commentary on the Authority's value for money arrangements in the Auditor's Annual Report</p>	September 2023	Completed
<p>Interim Audit Progress</p> <p>We will report to you the findings from our interim audit within our Progress Report.</p>	March 2023	Completed
<p>Audit Findings Report</p> <p>The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the April Audit Committee.</p>	April 2023	Not yet due
<p>Auditors Report</p> <p>This includes the opinion on your financial statements.</p>	April 2023	Not yet due
<p>Auditor's Annual Report</p> <p>This report communicates the key outputs of the audit, including our commentary on the Authority's value for money arrangements.</p>	May 2023	Not yet due

Sector Update

Authorities continue to try to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider local government sector and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- [Grant Thornton Publications](#)
- [Insights from local government sector specialists](#)
- [Reports of interest](#)
- [Accounting and regulatory updates](#)

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:

A teal rectangular button with the text "Public Sector" in white, sans-serif font.

Public Sector

A dark purple rectangular button with the text "Local government" in white, sans-serif font.

Local
government

Audit Market Developments

Financial Reporting Council Report On The Quality Of Local Audit

In late October 2022 the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) published its inspection findings into the quality of major local body audits in England, which includes large health and local government bodies.

The Quality Assurance Department (QAD) of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) inspects a sample of local audits that do not meet the definition of a ‘major’ local audit and the FRC’s report also includes a summary of their findings.

The FRC reported that 71% of Grant Thornton audits inspected (7 in total) were assessed as either good or limited improvements required.

This is a pleasing result and reflects on our significant investment in audit quality over recent years. The positive direction of travel over the past five years is illustrated below:

The FRC also inspected our work on VfM arrangements at four bodies.

It is pleasing to note that all of these inspections were assessed as requiring no more than limited improvements (which is the same as the previous year).

As far as the ICAEW are concerned, overall, the audit work reviewed was found to be of a good standard.

Seven of the eight files reviewed (88%) were either ‘good’ or ‘generally acceptable’, but one file ‘required improvement’.


The ICAEW identified one of our files as requiring ‘Improvement’ – but it should be noted that this was a 2019-20 file and therefore the learnings from prior years’ review could not have been taken into account, an issue recognised by the ICAEW in their report to us.

The ICAEW found that our VfM work was good on each of the files reviewed, and they did not identify any issues with this aspect of the audit teams’ work.

Whilst are pleased with our continuing improvement journey, we continue to invest in audit quality to ensure that the required standards are met.

The full report can be found [here](#).

Our assessment of the quality of financial statement audits reviewed



Financial Reporting Council



Audit Market Developments (continued)

Local Government External Audit Procurement

Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) has recently announced the outcome of its national procurement of audit services across the Local Government sector.

This exercise covers the audits from 2023/24 to 2027/28 and covers the 470 local government, police and fire bodies (99% of eligible local bodies) that opted into the national scheme.

We are delighted to have been reappointed as the largest supplier of local government audit and that our work at Bristol City Council will now continue for the next contract period. The public sector has played a significant role within the firm for over 30 years and we remain committed to the success of the sector.

Our UK Public Sector Assurance (PSA) team employs 440 people, including 29 Key Audit Partners and specialists in financial reporting, audit quality, and value for money.

The team is dedicated to public audit work in local government and the NHS, with contracts with PSAA, Audit Scotland and over 100 health bodies. The Public Sector Assurance team is a regular commentator on issues facing the sector and oversees the firm's thought leadership, such as its series of publications on grants and public interest reports.

Mark Stocks, lead Partner for PSA at Grant Thornton, said 'This is a very welcome outcome and reflects our previous delivery as well as our ongoing commitment to invest in the public sector.'

Further information can be found [here](#)



Grant Thornton – Nearly 60 councils at risk of ‘running out of money’ next year

Grant Thornton has warned that the soaring cost of living combined with a decade of austerity could see up to a sixth of English councils fully deplete their reserves in 2023-24 without substantial spending cuts.

Research found that, as a result of higher inflation, councils are expected to have a cumulative budget deficit of £7.3bn by 2025-26 – an increase of £4.6bn since forecasts made at the beginning of this year.

Grant Thornton said that although reserves were bolstered by more than £5bn in 2020-21 due to higher government funding, these balances will “continue to unwind through the long tail of Covid-19” with close to 60 councils forecast to use all earmarked and unallocated reserves next year.

Without additional income, authorities would need to make savings of over £125 per person by 2025-26, equal to the average yearly spend on homelessness, sports and leisure, parks and open spaces, libraries and waste services.

Phillip Woolley, Head of Public Services Consulting at Grant Thornton, said: “Local government has faced unprecedented demands and pressures over the last decade and without action from both central government and councils, in the face of these inflationary pressures, the list of authorities in need of exceptional support looks set to grow quickly.

“Our research shows the additional Covid-19 funding, while critical to support immediate challenges, has not addressed underlying systemic issues or the precariousness of councils’ financial sustainability in the face of economic instability.

“Local authorities are also now facing the risk of interest rate rises, increasing debt financing costs and the real risk of reduced funding from central government, in response to the current economic turmoil facing the country. Without committed intervention from all sides, there is a risk that the sector levels down instead of up.”

Grant Thornton estimated unitary authorities would have the largest budget gap (£1.8bn) by 2025-26, but district councils would have the largest gap compared to net spending at 10.2%.

The firm added that austerity and changing policy demands have left councils struggling to innovate in their services and prevented investment in finance and procurement, diminishing the sector’s ability to tackle medium-term challenges.

Grant Thornton said additional government funding alone will not lead to improvements, and that councils should focus on improving governance and developing financial stability plans.

Joanne Pitt, local government policy manager at CIPFA, said: “With no spending review and no fair funding review, CIPFA shares Grant Thornton’s concerns about the financial sustainability of some in the sector.

“While there are actions local authorities can take to strengthen their own financial resilience, they are facing significant inflationary pressures and rising demand which makes this hugely challenging for the sector.”



Response to local audit consultation – Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”)

The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (“DLUHC”) has published its response to the local audit consultation. This follows the “Redmond Review”, which reported in September 2020.

The response confirms plans to establish a new regulator, the Audit Reporting and Governance Authority (ARGA), as the system leader for local audit within a new, simplified local audit framework.

Ahead of ARGA’s establishment, a shadow system leader arrangement will start at the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) from September 2022.

The consultation response also announces:

- Plans to make audit committees compulsory for all councils, with each audit committee required to include at least one independent member. This will create greater transparency and consistency across local bodies.
- ARGA will take over statutory responsibility for preparing and issuing the Code of Audit Practice (from the National Audit Office).
- A post-implementation review of the new Value for Money arrangements. The Code is a key part of the local audit system, and it is important to ensure that it helps to facilitate effective local audit. To allow time for the new arrangements to bed in the response proposes this is completed within three years.

The full response can be found here:

[Government response to local audit framework: technical consultation - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/government-response-to-local-audit-framework-technical-consultation)



The Value of Internal Audit – CIPFA

One of the key elements of good governance is an independent and objective internal audit service. Some organisations engage fully and reap significant benefits from the assurance, insight and expertise they bring whilst others pay lip-service to them and see their work as an administrative burden.

CIPFA's recent report, [Internal Audit: Untapped Potential](#), lifts the lid on internal audit in public services. For some chief financial officers and chief executives, this report confirms the value and contribution of internal audit teams with 87% of respondents recognising the contribution internal audit makes to their organisation. However, some leadership teams saw internal audit as providing a basic service at minimal cost.

Getting the most out of the function requires honest conversations and long-term planning. Maintaining appropriate skills and knowledge within the function is necessary to ensure high quality internal audit in public services are retained.

Culture and governance

The Audit Committee should monitor the delivery of internal audit and their output will be a key part of the annual work-plan. However, internal audit is not a substitute for risk management and should enhance the overall assurances received by management. Executives and Officers should engage with internal audit recommendations to ensure the organisation gains maximum value from reviews.

Capacity

Reducing internal audit days can lead to a lack of 'corporate grip' and not identifying issues at an early stage. This report raises concerns over the capacity of internal audit across the public sector. The profession needs to be valued and invested in to make it more attractive to new blood and for bodies to be able to attract the best candidates to their service.

Expectations

To maximise the impact of internal audit, a clear and aligned strategic audit plan and annual audit plan should be in place. This should be agreed with all stakeholders.

Future plans

Internal audit needs to adapt to the changing landscape, including risks such as climate change, digital and technological developments, cyber-security and ongoing financial and service pressures within their planning processes. For financial resilience and medium- and long-term financial strategies internal audit can provide vital independent assurance to decision makers to allow them to take on more risk and be more ambitious. Leadership teams need to be clear on what assurances they will require going forward.

For more information, [Rob Whiteman](#) share his views on this report.



Audit Committees: Practical Guidance For Local Authorities And Police – CIPFA

In October CIPFA published this guide, stating “This fully revised and updated edition takes into account recent legislative changes and professional developments and supports the 2022 CIPFA Position Statement. It includes additional guidance and resources to support audit committee members, and those working with and supporting the committee’s development.”

CIPFA go on to state “Audit committees are a key component of governance. Their purpose is to provide an independent and high-level focus on the adequacy of governance, risk and control arrangements. They play an important role in supporting leadership teams, elected representatives, police and crime commissioners and chief constables.

This edition updates CIPFA’s 2018 publication to complement the 2022 edition of the CIPFA Position Statement on audit committees.

The suite of publications has separate guidance resources for audit committee members in authorities, members of police audit committees, and a supplement for those responsible for guiding the committee.

New aspects include legislation changes in Wales and new expectations in England following the Redmond Review. All authorities and police bodies are encouraged to use the publication to review and develop their arrangements in accordance with the Position Statement.


The appendices include suggested terms of reference, a knowledge and skills framework and effectiveness improvement tools.”

The guide covers a number of key areas for Audit Committees, including:

- Purpose
- Core functions:
 - Governance, Risk and Control
 - Accountability and Public Reporting
 - Assurance and Audit arrangements
 - Ensuring focus
- Independence and accountability
- Membership and effectiveness

The guide can be purchased via the CIPFA website:

[Audit Committee Guidance: 2022 update | CIPFA](#)



Audit and Risk Assurance Committee effectiveness tool – NAO

The National Audit Office (NAO) has published this tool which supports Audit Committees in assessing their effectiveness.

The NAO comment “Audit and Risk Assurance Committees (ARACs) play a crucial role in supporting the effective governance of central government departments, their agencies and arm’s-length bodies.

ARACs are operating in a highly challenging context. Government organisations are managing many short- and long-term risks and are required to be resilient to a number of pressures. This has created an environment where ARACs need to be dynamic and responsive to the changing risk profiles and demands of their organisations. ARACs can see this as an opportunity to work out how they can most proactively work with the Board and accounting officer.


Against this background, the NAO’s effectiveness tool provides a way for ARACs to assess their effectiveness against more than just the basic requirements. It provides aspects of good practice to give ARACs greater confidence and the opportunity to meet the requirements of their role.

The NAO’s effectiveness tool is a comprehensive way for ARACs to assess their effectiveness on a regular basis.”

The tool covers:

- Membership, independence, objectivity and understanding
- Skills and experience
- Roles and responsibilities
- Scope
- Communication and reporting

Although the tool is designed for central government Audit Committees it is also relevant to local government.



The guide can be found here:

[Audit and Risk Assurance Committee effectiveness tool - National Audit Office \(NAO\) Report](#)

Guide for audit and risk committees on financial reporting and management during COVID-19 – NAO

The National Audit Office (NAO) has published this guide which aims to help audit and risk committee members discharge their responsibilities in several different areas, and to examine the impacts on their organisations of the COVID-19 outbreak

The NAO comment “Audit and risk committees are integral to the scrutiny and challenge process. They advise boards and accounting officers on matters of financial accountability, assurance and governance, and can support organisations, providing expert challenge, helping organisations focus on what is important, and how best to manage risk.

Each organisation will have existing risk management processes in place, but risk appetite may have changed as a result of COVID-19, for the organisation to operate effectively and respond in a timely manner. This may result in a weakening of controls in some areas, increasing the likelihood of other risks occurring. Organisations will need to consider how long this change in risk appetite is sustainable for.”

The guide includes sections on:


- Annual reports
- Financial reporting
- The control environment
- Regularity of expenditure

The guide can be found here:

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/guidance-for-audit-and-risk-committees-on-financial-reporting-and-management-during-covid-19/>

The guide includes a number of key questions covering areas such as:

- Property valuations
- Pension scheme valuations
- Completeness of liabilities
- Events after the reporting period
- Control environment
- Fraud and error





© 2022 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.